

**Discharge 2002: European Training Foundation**

**1. European Parliament decision on the discharge to the Director of the European Training Foundation in respect of the implementation of its budget for the financial year 2002 (C5-0641/2003 - 2003/2259(DEC))**

*The European Parliament,*

- having regard to the Court of Auditors' report on the financial statements of the European Training Foundation for the financial year 2002, together with the Foundation's replies<sup>1</sup> (C5-0641/2003),
  - having regard to the Council's recommendation of 9 March 2004 (C5-0144/2004),
  - having regard to the EC Treaty, and in particular Article 276 thereof,
  - having regard to Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 of 25 June 2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities<sup>2</sup> and in particular Article 185 thereof, and to Council Regulation (EC) No 1648/2003 of 18 June 2003 amending Regulation (EEC) No 1360/90 establishing a European Training Foundation<sup>3</sup> and in particular Article 11 thereof,
  - having regard to Commission Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2343/2002 of 19 November 2002 on the framework Financial Regulation for the bodies referred to in Article 185 of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities<sup>4</sup> and, in particular, Article 94 of Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2343/2002,
  - having regard to Rule 93a of and Annex V to its Rules of Procedure,
  - having regard to the Report of the Committee on Budgetary Control and the opinion of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs (A5-0212/2004),
1. Gives discharge to the Director of the European Training Foundation in respect of the implementation of its budget for the financial year 2002;
  2. Records its comments in the accompanying resolution;
  3. Instructs its President to forward this decision and the accompanying resolution to the Director of the European Training Foundation, the Council, the Commission and the

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ C 319, 30.12.2003, p. 47.

<sup>2</sup> OJ L 248, 16.9.2002, p. 1.

<sup>3</sup> OJ L 245, 29.9.2003, p. 22.

<sup>4</sup> OJ L 357, 31.12.2002, p. 72.

Court of Auditors and to have them published in the Official Journal of the European Union (L series).

## **2. European Parliament resolution containing the comments accompanying the decision on the discharge to the Director of the European Training Foundation in respect of the implementation of its budget for the financial year 2002 (C5-0641/2003 - 2003/2259(DEC))**

*The European Parliament,*

- having regard to the Court of Auditors' report on the financial statements of the European Training Foundation for the financial year 2002, together with the Foundation's replies<sup>1</sup> (C5-0641/2003),
  - having regard to the Council's recommendation of 9 March 2004 (C5-0144/2004),
  - having regard to the EC Treaty, and in particular Article 276 thereof,
  - having regard to Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 of 25 June 2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities<sup>2</sup> and in particular Article 185 thereof, and to Council Regulation (EC) No 1648/2003 of 18 June 2003 amending Regulation (EEC) No 1360/90 establishing a European Training Foundation<sup>3</sup> and in particular Article 11 thereof,
  - having regard to Commission Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2343/2002 of 19 November 2002 on the framework Financial Regulation for the bodies referred to in Article 185 of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities<sup>4</sup> and, in particular, Article 94 of Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2343/2002,
  - having regard to Rule 93a of and Annex V to its Rules of Procedure,
  - having regard to the Report of the Committee on Budgetary Control and the opinion of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs (A5-0212/2004),
- A. whereas the European court of Auditors (ECA) stated in its above-mentioned report that it had obtained reasonable assurances that the accounts for the financial year ended 31 December 2002 are reliable and that the underlying transactions, taken as a whole, are legal and regular;
- B. whereas Parliament, in accordance with Article 185 of the new Financial Regulation, is exercising for the first time its competence to give discharge to the Foundation's Director in respect of the implementation of its budget for the financial year 2002;
- C. whereas in inaugurating this new relationship with the Foundation, Parliament's competent committee received information from it in reply to the questions it addressed to the Foundation;

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ C 319, 30.12.2003, p. 47.

<sup>2</sup> OJ L 248, 16.9.2002, p. 1.

<sup>3</sup> OJ L 245, 29.9.2003, p. 22.

<sup>4</sup> OJ L 357, 31.12.2002, p. 72.

1. Notes the following figures for the accounts of the European Training Foundation for the financial years 2002 and 2001;

### Revenue and expenditure account for the financial years 2002 and 2001<sup>1</sup>

(1 000 euro)

	2002	2001
<b>Revenue</b>		
Own revenue		
Commission subsidies	13.179	16.800
Miscellaneous revenue	23	47
Financial revenue	140	290
<b>Total revenue (a)</b>	<b>13.342</b>	<b>17.137</b>
<b>Expenditure</b>		
<i>Staff - Title I of the budget</i>		
Payments	10.153	9.746
Appropriations carried over	215	356
<i>Administration - Title II of the budget</i>		
Payments	805	862
Appropriations carried over	559	541
<i>Operating activities - Title III of the budget</i>		
Payments	2.307	2.572
Appropriations carried over	2.591	2.595
<b>Total expenditure (b)</b>	<b>16.631</b>	<b>16.672</b>
<b>Out-turn for the financial year (a-b)<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>-3.289</b>	<b>465</b>
<b>Balance carried over from the previous financial year</b>	<b>4.055</b>	<b>3.352</b>
<b>Appropriations carried over and cancelled</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>258</b>
<b>Sums for re-use from the 2001 (2000) financial year, not used</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Reimbursements to the Commission</b>	<b>-3.352</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Exchange-rate differences</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>-20</b>
<b>Balance for the financial year</b>	<b>-2.155</b>	<b>4.055</b>
NB: Any discrepancies in totals are due to the effects of rounding		
Source : Foundation data.		
<sup>(1)</sup> The revenue and expenditure account and balance sheet only take account of the Foundation's specific activities: they ignore programmes managed on behalf of the Commission or other bodies.		
<sup>(2)</sup> Calculated according to the provisions of Article 15 of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1150/2000 of 22 May 2000 (OJ L 130, 31.5.2000, p. 8).		

### Implementation of the budget - Audit and control

2. Notes the ECA's criticism that the volume of carry-overs of operating appropriations remains high and its observation that the Foundation should improve the monitoring of its programme; notes also the Foundation's reply in which it suggested that a reduction in the level of carry-overs is to be expected for the year after 2002 and that the introduction of multiannual payment appropriations would help solve the problem;
3. Invites the Foundation to further explain its analysis of options offered by the new Financial Regulation, with a view to reducing carry-overs and better complying with the annuality principle;
4. Notes with satisfaction the Foundation's plan to adopt the 24 internal control standards with a view to complying fully with those standards by the end of 2004; notes also steps taken towards establishing an internal audit capability; stresses the importance of cooperation with the Commission's Internal Audit Service;

5. Notes that the Community subsidy to the Foundation is paid in periodic instalments; notes also the Foundation's remark in its replies to the questionnaire that delays in the payment of instalments resulted in a cash-flow problem; takes the view that such situations may have adverse effects on the implementation of the work programme;
6. Invites the Commission and the Foundation to improve their coordination and to prevent, by appropriate means, such problems occurring again; invites the Commission to inform its competent committees of the problem and of the measures taken to remedy that situation;

### ***Financial statements***

7. Notes the Foundation's reply to the ECA's observation as regards the presentation in its financial statements for the year 2003 of the convention funds it manages from Tacis, Phare and Tempus technical assistance; notes the Foundation's readiness, following consultation with the Commission, to find the appropriate solution in response to the ECA's observation;

### ***Legality and regularity of the underlying transactions***

8. Invites the Foundation, in cooperation with the Commission, to ensure the proper follow-up to the ECA's observation with regard to checks that should be applied in order to verify that only those former employees of the Foundation who are entitled to the unemployment allowance actually receive it.

### ***Other***

9. Notes the Foundation's readiness to take up additional tasks; reiterates its call to the Commission to use the Foundation's expertise in a wider geographical context than at present and for providing technical assistance to programmes such as Tempus and Erasmus Mundus;
10. Notes the Summary Joint Progress Report on cooperation between the Foundation and Cedefop, of 23 September 2003, which outlines joint initiatives and action taken with a view to preparing the candidate countries' participation in CEDEFOP's activities from the moment enlargement is effective; stresses that the joint action should continue; invites the ETF, CEDEFOP and the Commission to report to Parliament, once the ten new countries have acceded, on the results of transferring ETF's work in these countries under the responsibility of CEDEFOP;

### ***Horizontal points on the Agencies and the Commission***

#### ***Implementing the new Financial Regulation - Internal audit and control***

11. Reiterates the position taken in its resolutions<sup>1</sup> accompanying the discharge given to the Agencies for 2001 as regards the implementation of the new Financial Regulation; invites the Commission and the Agencies to continue their cooperation, in particular in the areas

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 148, 16.6.2003, p. 83-96 and OJ L 333, 20.12.2003, p. 53 (point 18).

of accounting, internal audit, management and control procedures, so as to ensure that a coherent harmonised framework for the functioning of the Agencies is established;

12. Recalls that it expressed concern in the discharge resolution for 2001 concerning the lack of controls on the agencies carried out by the internal audit service of the Commission (IAS); expresses grave concern that such controls do not seem to have been carried out this year; asks the Commission and the IAS to explain the reasons for this and to provide data about the number of staff available to the Internal Auditor to carry out controls in the agencies; expects the Commission to indicate how it can guarantee that sufficient and correct controls are carried out in the satellite bodies, in particular the IAS.
13. Stresses that it is essential that the Agencies be required to submit to the investigative powers of OLAF under the same conditions as the institutions<sup>1</sup>; invites the ECA to provide information, in time for adoption of the discharge resolution, on whether the Community bodies which acceded to the Interinstitutional Agreement of 25 May 1999<sup>2</sup> on internal investigations by OLAF did so in the same terms as those laid down in the annex to this Agreement;

#### *Financial Management*

14. Notes that in some of the Agencies' replies to the questionnaire concerning the way in which the recurrent problem of substantial carry-overs might be addressed, mention is made of the possibilities offered by the new Financial Regulation, which provides for the use of "differentiated appropriations"; invites the Agencies to better explain their analysis and to indicate in particular which of their activities of a multi-annual nature might be financed by such appropriations;
15. Invites the Commission to present its position on such a solution and, should it consider that this solution is not feasible, to outline alternatives allowing for a substantial reduction in carry-overs;

#### *Review of the agencies*

16. Stresses that, prior to any decision to set up an agency, the Commission must make a rigorous analysis of the need for and added value of the functions that the agency will perform, with an eye to the principles of subsidiarity, budgetary rigour and procedural simplification;
17. Calls on the Commission to make a general study of activities currently carried out by various Community bodies that might overlap or serve the same goals, and to propose appropriate solutions, including the possible mergers of agencies;
18. Is concerned by the fact that there is an imbalance between administrative and operational expenditure in many Agencies, with administrative expenditure exceeding expenditure for operational purposes; calls therefore on the Commission and the Agencies to set targets and a timetable to reduce the level of administrative expenditure as a proportion of total

---

<sup>1</sup> Texts Adopted, 13.1.2004, P5\_TA(2004)0015.

<sup>2</sup> OJ L 136, 31.5.1999, p. 15.

expenditure; notes that many Agencies see opportunities in this regard, as listed in the questionnaire;

19. With reference to the replies to the questionnaire on interinstitutional cooperation, encourages the Agencies to improve cooperation between themselves in order to meet their needs in specific areas (for example, software development) and reduce costs, rather than adopt solutions which were initially designed for the purposes of the Commission but which often prove to be too cumbersome and complicated for the Agencies' specific needs;
20. Encourages the Agencies to organise and develop a close working relationship with the competent parliamentary committees; invites its standing committees with competence in the areas of activity of each of the Agencies to co-ordinate their action with the Committees of Budgets and Budgetary Control, with a view to ensuring efficient monitoring of the activity of the Agencies;

#### *New sources of financing*

21. Welcomes the responses and ideas that emerged from the questionnaire concerning the possibilities of other sources of financing; notes that many current sources and proposals concern the letting of buildings and facilities and the sale of publications and information; realises that for reasons of independence, among other things, not all agencies are to accept additional financial sources; stresses the economies of scale and financial benefits of the participation of non-EU countries in the activities of certain Agencies; calls on the Commission and the Agencies to come forward with constructive proposals with regard to further development of new sources of additional financing, which would increase the level of self-financing;
22. Welcomes the financial contributions of some Member States and regions to the agencies located on their area; considers it important for Council and the Commission to demand such contributions, especially when new agencies are set up;

#### *Harmonised operating framework*

23. Recalls its position<sup>1</sup> that the multitude of different forms in the existing agencies' structures was thought to be "neither transparent nor comprehensible, and, even bearing in mind the differences in tasks, not justified"; invites the Commission to conduct a review of all the existing agencies with a view to proposing, where appropriate, amendments to their basic instruments<sup>2</sup> so as to adapt them to the models that the future regulatory framework should encompass; instructs its competent committees to follow up this comprehensive review exercise, which should be conducted as soon as possible, and to take into consideration the horizontal issues mentioned in this discharge resolution;
24. Invites the Commission to present appropriate proposals, aimed at creating such a harmonised framework for the agencies, prior to or at least in parallel with the presentation of the legislative proposals for the new agencies; insists that an

---

<sup>1</sup> P5\_TA(2004)0015 (paragraphs 13-14).

<sup>2</sup> P5\_TA(2004)0015 (paragraph 24).

interinstitutional agreement spelling out common guidelines is a pre-condition for creating the harmonised framework;

### *Staff policy*

25. Notes that, as a result of the new Financial Regulation, the organigrams of the agencies are established by the budgetary authority; stresses the importance of this change for the agency discharge procedure in the years to come as regards checking application of the Staff Regulation in matters of recruitment, promotion policy, vacancy rates and recruitment policy;
26. Notes that, in response to a questionnaire tabled in the course of the budgetary procedure for 2004, it was established that, on average, it took considerably fewer years to obtain promotion at several agencies than is the policy in the Commission, that vacancy rates were considerably higher compared to other institutions and that several of the requested new posts were not proposed at the lowest grade; considers that staff policy should form an important part of a review of the existing agencies;
27. Considers that the staff policy of the Agencies should comply with the Financial Regulation, the Staff Regulations and the best practice generally followed by the Institutions; considers that the Commission has been requested to give, before the 2005 budgetary procedure, guidelines concerning staff policy, notably the rate of vacant posts, the rate of promotions, the level of recruitment and the standard career profile;
28. Recalls the principle that the Agencies should as far as possible employ staff on temporary contracts, in order to maintain flexibility and efficiency;
29. Is concerned about the serious anomalies detected in connection with the selection procedures of the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, including: imprecise notice, incomplete selection committee minutes, criteria for assessing candidates not defined in advance<sup>1</sup>; is very concerned that this might not be an isolated case but that Agencies in general might have difficulty in managing these rather complex procedures in a fair and transparent manner;
30. Is of the opinion that selection procedures organised by Agencies should meet the same standards as those organised by the European Personnel Selection Office (EPSO) and that they should not be perceived as a backdoor for easy entry into the European civil service;
31. Invites the Commission to make proposals in order to ensure that the Agencies benefit from appropriate support from the EPSO when organising selection procedures and that there is a mechanism in place to validate the outcome of such procedures externally before recruitment takes place.

---

<sup>1</sup> See paragraph 13 of the Court of Auditors' specific report for 2002 (p. 64).