

## DATA PROTECTION ACCOUNTABILITY TOOLKIT

(Regulation (EU) No. 2018/1725)

# I. RECORD OF PERSONAL DATA PROCESSING OPERATION (Article 31 of Regulation (EU) No. 2018/1725)

1.	Name of the processing operation	Allegro HR management system
2.	Reference number/Issue	ETF-DPR-32/Issue 3
3.	Date	27/10/2020
		Nadège Perrine
4.	Business owner	Head of HR Unit
		Nadège.Perrine@etf.europa.eu
		Cesare Onestini
5.	Controller	Director of the ETF
		Cesare.Onestini@etf.europa.eu
6.	Joint controller(s)	Not applicable
7.	Processor(s)	Contractor providing the Allegro system and its customisation
8.	Data protection officer	Tiziana Ciccarone / Laurens Rijken
ð.	Data protection officer  DataProtectionOfficer@etf.europa.eu	

	I	
9.	Purpose of the processing	Allow the sound management of ETF staff data related to contract, administrative career, determination of rights, working conditions, leave and absences, working time, learning & development and performance management.  Further processing: working time data is used to create an inventory of staff on ETF premises in case of emergency situations (see more details in record Staff access and presence management).
10.	Legal basis for the processing	<ul> <li>For data related to contract, administrative career and determination of rights: Art. 26 of Staff Regulations</li> <li>For data related to working conditions: ETF Governing Board Decision ref. GB/16/DEC/002 on part time, European Commission Decision ref. EC (C(2010)7572) on parental leave, European Commission Decision ref. EC (C(2010)7494) on family leave, ETF Governing Board Decision ref. GB/15/DEC/018 on unpaid leave</li> <li>For data related to leave and absences: ETF Governing Board Decision ref. GB/14/DEC/001 on leave, ETF Governing Board Decision ref. GB/06/DEC/002 on absences as a result of sickness or accident, ETF Governing Board Decision ref. GB/18/DEC/001 on teleworking, Director's Decision ref. ETF/17/DEC/004 on compensatory leave for exceptional work during ETF public holidays</li> <li>For data related to working time: ETF Governing Board Decision ref. GB/14/DEC/002 on Working Time applicable by analogy to ETF - C(2014) 2502</li> <li>For data related to learning &amp; development, please refer to the relevant record</li> <li>For data related to performance management, please refer to the relevant record</li> </ul>
11.	Categories of data subjects	All ETF Statutory staff, Trainees and SNEs
12.	Categories of personal data	Staff member: name, contact details, nationality, date of birth, gender, place of residence, marital status, financial allowances paid by another source, salary slips and supporting documents linked to

		<ul> <li>sick leave and special leave</li> <li>Spouse/recognised partner: name, nationality, age, gender, residence, income, administrative status vis-à-vis employer, details of the employer</li> <li>Dependent child/person/relative: name, nationality, age and gender</li> </ul>
13.	Time limits for keeping of data	<ul> <li>30 years after termination of service for data related to contract, administrative career, determination of rights, working conditions and salary slips</li> <li>3 years for data related to leave and absences (incuding supporting documents linked to sick leave and special leave) and working time</li> <li>Until termination of service for data related to learning &amp; development and performance management</li> </ul>
14.	Categories of ETF recipients of data	HR Unit, managers (only for data subjects for whom the managers hold a direct line management relationship) and the software development officer (only for technical issues related to the functioning of the Allegro software)
15.	Categories of external recipients of data	Contractor's staff providing support for the functioning of the Allegro system
16.	Transfers of data to third country or international organisation	Not applicable

17.	Technical and organisational security measures	The access to the Allegro software is limited to authorised actors with specific access roles via user authentication (ID and password)
18.	Information to the data subject	Provided in privacy statement



## DATA PROTECTION ACCOUNTABILITY TOOLKIT

(Regulation (EU) No. 2018/1725)

# II. COMPLIANCE CHECK AND RISK SCREENING OF PERSONAL DATA PROCESSING OPERATION (Article 26 and 39 of Regulation (EU) No. 2018/1725)

Name of the processing operation	Allegro HR management system
Reference number	ETF-DPR-32/Issue 3
Date	27/10/2020

#### A. Compliance check

19.	Lawfulness (Legal basis and necessity for	
	processing, point 10)	
	☐ Necessary for performance of tasks in the	
	public interest attributed by EU or MS	
	legislation	
	Necessary for the management and	
	functioning of the organisation	
	obligation incumbent on controller	
	☐ Necessary for performance of a contract to	
	which the data subject is party	
	☐ The data subject has given consent	
	☐ Necessary in order to protect the vital	
	interests of the data subject or of another	
	natural person	
20.	Purpose definition (Point 9)	
	<ul><li>Do you list all purposes?</li></ul>	
	<ul> <li>Are the purposes specified, explicit,</li> </ul>	• Yes
	legitimate?	• Yes
	<ul> <li>Where information is also processed for other</li> </ul>	• Yes
	purposes, are you sure that these are not	
	incompatible with the initial purpose(s)?	
21.	Data minimisation (Point 12)	
	<ul> <li>Do you really need all data items you plan to</li> </ul>	• Yes
	collect?	• No
	<ul> <li>Are there any you could do without?</li> </ul>	
22.		HR rely on information provided by staff
		and, in case of doubts, probe the staff and
	Accuracy (Point 12)	ask for further supporting documents. Staff
	<ul> <li>How do you ensure that the information you</li> </ul>	have full access to their own data and can
	process about people is accurate?	signal any inaccuracies.
	<ul> <li>How do you rectify inaccurate information?</li> </ul>	As the presence of inaccurate information
		is signalled, a check is put in place and as
		applicable relevant rectification is made.
23.	Storage limitation (Point 13)	The different storage periods have been
	<ul> <li>Explain why you chose the storage period(s)</li> </ul>	established based on ETF/Commission
	mentioned	retention scheme and EDPS guidance
	<ul> <li>Are they limited according to the maximum or</li> </ul>	As short as possible

	minimum "as long as necessary, as short as possible"?	Yes (see details in point 13)
	<ul> <li>In case you only need some information for longer, can you split storage periods?</li> </ul>	
24.	<ul> <li>Transparency (Point 18)</li> <li>How do you tell people about your processing?</li> <li>If you do not want to inform people (or only inform them after the fact), consult your DPO</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Information is given to the data subject via a privacy statement in the system, which is displayed at first logon, and also displayed under "My identity" menu</li> <li>Not applicable</li> </ul>
25.	<ul> <li>Data subjects rights (Point 18)</li> <li>Can people contact you if they want to know what you have about them, want to correct or delete the data, have it blocked or oppose to the processing?</li> <li>Could there be situations where you would want to refuse e.g. granting access? In case so please describe them</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The data subject can access his/her data at any time. Requests of rectification or deletion of data will be checked and accepted only if compliant with the regulatory framework.</li> <li>Not applicable</li> </ul>

#### B. Risk screening

	9			
26.	Does the processing involve any of the following?			
	Data relating to health, (suspected) criminal offences or otherwise		$\square$ No	
	considered sensitive			
	Evaluation, automated decision- making or profiling	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes$ No	
	Monitoring data subjects	$\square$ Yes	$\boxtimes$ No	
	New technologies that may be considered intrusive	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes$ No	
	Exclusion databases	$\square$ Yes	$\boxtimes$ No	
	Large-scale processing of special categories of personal data (disease)	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes$ No	
	surveillance, pharmacovigilance, central databases for law-enforcement			
	cooperation)			
	Internet traffic analysis breaking encryption	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes$ No	
	Management of personal files		$\square$ No	
	Staff evaluation procedures		$\square$ No	
	Staff selection procedures	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes$ No	
	Establishment of rights upon entry into service		$\square$ No	
	Access control systems	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes$ No	
	Video surveillance	☐ Yes	⊠ No	

#### C. Conclusions

	Actor	Date	Signature
27.	Business owner	27-10-2020	n
	Nadège Perrine		la .
	Head of HR Unit		
	Conclusion		
	Threshold assessment required according to Risk screening		
	Data Protection Officer:	29-10-2020	Email
	Laurens Rijken		validation
	Conclusion		
	I confirm that a threshold assessment is required		



## DATA PROTECTION ACCOUNTABILITY TOOLKIT

(Regulation (EU) No. 2018/1725)

## III. THRESHOLD ASSESSMENT OF PERSONAL DATA PROCESSING OPERATION (Article 26 and 39 of Regulation (EU) No. 2018/1725)

Name of the processing operation	Allegro HR management system
Reference number	ETF-DPR-32/Issue 3
Date	27/10/2020

#### A. Criteria for high risks identification

28.	Systematic and extensive <b>evaluation</b> of personal aspects or scoring, including profiling and predicting	☐ Yes	⊠ No
29.	Automated-decision making with legal or similar significant effect: processing that aims at taking decisions on data subjects	☐ Yes	⊠ No
30.	Systematic <b>monitoring</b> : processing used to observe, monitor or control data subjects, especially in publicly accessible spaces. This may cover video-	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	surveillance but also other monitoring, e.g. of staff internet use.		
31.	<b>Sensitive data</b> : data revealing ethnic or racial origin, political opinions, religious or philosophical beliefs, trade-union membership, genetic data, biometric data	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	for identification purposes, data concerning health or sex life or sexual orientation, criminal convictions or offences and related security measures or		
	otherwise considered sensitive.		
	Health data are limited to the registration of health-related absences and upload coertificates, however without health details (diagnosis). Sexual orientation may be		ed from
	spouse/recognised partner information. This level of information does not expose risks.	the syster	n to high
32.	Data processed on a large scale, whether based on number of people concerned	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	and/or amount of data processed about each of them and/or permanence and/or geographical coverage		
33.	Datasets <b>matched or combined</b> from different data processing operations performed for different purposes and/or by different data controllers in a way	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	that would exceed the reasonable expectations of the data subject.		
34.	Data concerning <b>vulnerable data subjects</b> : situations where an imbalance in the relationship between the position of the data subject and the controller can be	⊠ Yes	□ No
	identified.	ui-alat t -	h:lal
	The system contains minimal information on dependent children necessary for the related allowances and indication of sickness of child-related absences. This level of		
25	not expose the system to high risks.	□ v	- 14 N
35.	Innovative use or applying technological or organisational solutions that can	☐ Yes	oxtimes No

#### THRESHOLD ASSESSMENT - Allegro HR management system

	involve novel forms of data collection and usage. Indeed, the personal and social consequences of the deployment of a new technology may be unknown		
36.	<b>Preventing</b> data subjects from <b>exercising a right</b> or using a service or a contract.	☐ Yes	⊠ No

#### B. Conclusion

Actor	Date	Signature
Business owner Nadège Perrine Head of HR Unit	27-10-2020	Jan -
Conclusion  No further action required despited the matching criteria for high risk identification. See justification below		
Notwithstanding the use of Allegro for staff performance appraisal, the sensitiveness of some information provided and the processing of data of children, this processing of personal data is in line with ETF and Commission rules and procedures. Furthermore, the security measures of the system guarantee confidentiality and limit access to the personal data only to people in charge.		
Data Protection Officer: Laurens Rijken	29-10-2020	Email validation
Conclusion		
I confirm that no further action is required		
Data Controller Cesare Onestini, Director of the ETF	29-10-2020	email validation
	Business owner Nadège Perrine Head of HR Unit  Conclusion No further action required despited the matching criteria for high risk identification. See justification below  Notwithstanding the use of Allegro for staff performance appraisal, the sensitiveness of some information provided and the processing of data of children, this processing of personal data is in line with ETF and Commission rules and procedures. Furthermore, the security measures of the system guarantee confidentiality and limit access to the personal data only to people in charge.  Data Protection Officer: Laurens Rijken  Conclusion I confirm that no further action is required	Business owner Nadège Perrine Head of HR Unit  Conclusion No further action required despited the matching criteria for high risk identification. See justification below  Notwithstanding the use of Allegro for staff performance appraisal, the sensitiveness of some information provided and the processing of data of children, this processing of personal data is in line with ETF and Commission rules and procedures. Furthermore, the security measures of the system guarantee confidentiality and limit access to the personal data only to people in charge.  Data Protection Officer: Laurens Rijken  Conclusion I confirm that no further action is required  Data Controller  29-10-2020